

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/655,225	09/04/2003	Werner Haberbosch	NY-HUBR-1183.1-DIV-US 5684	
24972 7590 02/16/2007 FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP 666 FIFTH AVE			EXAMINER	
			AZPURU, CARLOS A	
NEW YORK, NY 10103-3198			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	1615	
	٠.			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Supplemental Office Action Summary		10/655,225	HABERBOSCH, WERNER			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Carlos A. Azpuru	1615			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 O	<u>ctober 2006</u> .				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-22 and 26-44</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-22 and 26-44</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acco	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
A44.c=b=	M-)	•				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) ☐ Notice of Informal P 6) ☐ Other:	atent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/655,225

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed 10/18/2006.

The rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph is hereby withdrawn.

The following are new rejections of the claims:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 18-22, 26-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4,322,411 (US'411) in view of Hunter et al (US2003/0203976) or Silvestrini (US 5,234,456).

US'411 teaches that 3-deazaadenosine is an anti-inflammatory compound (See Abstract. US'411 does not teach the inclusion of these compounds into a stent coating.

However, the inclusion of anti-inflammatories into stent coatings is taught by both Hunter et al (see [0151] and Silvestrini (see col.3, line 18). Therefore, those of ordinary skill in the art would have expected similar therapeutic effects from the inclusion of 3-deazaadenosine or an analog thereof into a stent coating given the teaching that these compounds are anti-inflammatories as taught by US'411 and further by both Hunter et al and Silvestrini et al who teach that anti-inflammatories are commonly incorporated into stent coatings. The instant claims setting out the a coated stent comprising 3-deazaadenosine or an analog thereof would have therefore been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention given the teachings of US'411 in view of either Hunter et al or Silvestrini.

The article by Dzau et al is cited for its teachings concerning vascular therapies.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos A. Azpuru whose telephone number is (571) 272-0588. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fri, 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1615

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Garlos A. Azpuru´ Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1615